EXHIBIT 8

Case 6:12-cv-00499-RWS-CMC Document 1751-9 Filed 09/09/14 Page 2 of 12 PageID #:

25483 United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
12/655,357	12/22/2009	Scott A. Moskowitz	066112.0132CONTA2	1045	
Scott A. Mosko	7590 08/20/201 witz	EXAMINER			
#2505			TSAI, CAROL S W		
16711 Collins Avenue Sunny Isles Beach, FL 33160			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

BLU001417

Case 6:12-cv-00499-RWS-CMC Documen	05.40.4	Page 3 of 12 PageID #:		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	12/655,357	MOSKOWITZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CAROL S. TSAI	2857		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2009.			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 G.D. 11, 48	03 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-99</u> is/are pending in the application	1.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-99</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Denova				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminor		
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/2010.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Art Unit: 2857

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 74, 84, and 97 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,660,700. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the

Art Unit: 2857

12/655,357

Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

4. Please see the table listed:

74. (new) A system for analyzing at least one reference signal comprising: a first input that receives at least one reference signal to be analyzed; a first processor that creates an abstract of each reference signal input to said first processor through said first input wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters configured to differentiate between versions of said reference signal; at least one reference database for storing at least one abstract; a receiver that receives at least one query signal; a second processor that creates an abstract of said query signal received by said receiver, based on the parameters; and a comparing device that compares the

U. S. Patent No. 7,660,229

1. An electronic system for monitoring and analyzing at least one signal, comprising: a first input that receives at least one reference signal to be monitored, a first processor that creates an abstract of each reference signal input to said first processor through said first input wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters configured to differentiate between a plurality of versions of the reference signal; a second input that receives at least one query signal to be analyzed, a second processor that creates an abstract of each query signal wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters of the query

Art Unit: 2857

created query signal abstract to the reference signal abstracts in the at least one database, each abstract in the at least one reference database corresponding to a version of a reference signal, to determine whether the query signal abstract matches any of the stored at least one abstract in the at least one reference database.

signal; a reference database that
stores abstracts of each at least one
reference signal; a comparing device
that compares an abstract of said at least
one query signal to the abstracts
stored in the reference database to
determine if the abstract of said at least
one query signal matches any of the
stored abstracts wherein a match
indicates the query signal is a version of
at least one of the reference signals.

- 84. A system for analyzing and identifying at least one reference signal, comprising: a first input for receiving at least one reference signal to be identified, a first processor for creating an abstract of each reference signal received based on perceptual characteristics representative of
- 1. An electronic system for monitoring and analyzing at least one signal, comprising: a first input that receives at least one reference signal to be monitored, a first processor that creates an abstract of each reference signal input to said first processor through said first input wherein the abstract

Art Unit: 2857

parameters to differentiate between versions of the reference signal; a reference database for storing abstracts of each reference signal received in a database; a second input for receiving at least one query signal to be identified, a second processor for creating an abstract of the received query signal based on the parameters; and a comparing device for comparing an abstract of said received query signal to the abstracts stored in the database to determine if the abstract of said received query signal is related to any of the stored abstracts.

comprises signal characteristic parameters configured to differentiate between a plurality of versions of the reference signal; a second input that receives at least one query signal to be analyzed, a second processor that creates an abstract of each query signal wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters of the query signal; a reference database that stores abstracts of each at least one reference signal; a comparing device that compares an abstract of said at least one query signal to the abstracts stored in the reference database to determine if the abstract of said at least one query signal matches any of the stored abstracts wherein a match indicates the query signal is a version of at least one of the reference signals.

Art Unit: 2857

97. A system for analyzing a plurality of reference signals comprising: a first input that receives a plurality of reference signals to be analyzed; a first processor that creates an abstract for each of the plurality of reference signals input to said first processor through said first input wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters configured to differentiate between versions of at least one reference signal; at least one reference database for storing the plurality of created abstracts; a receiver for receiving a query signal; a second processor that creates an abstract of said query signal received by said receiver, based on the parameters; and a comparing device that compares the created query signal abstract to the abstracts stored in the at least one database, to determine whether the query

1. An electronic system for monitoring and analyzing at least one signal, comprising: a first input that receives at least one reference signal to be monitored, a first processor that creates an abstract of each reference signal input to said first processor through said first input wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters configured to differentiate between a plurality of versions of the reference signal; a second input that receives at least one query signal to be analyzed, a second processor that creates an abstract of each query signal wherein the abstract comprises signal characteristic parameters of the query signal; a reference database that stores abstracts of each at least one reference signal; a comparing device that compares an abstract of said at least one query signal to the abstracts

Art Unit: 2857

signal abstract matches any of the stored
abstracts in the at least one
reference database.

stored in the reference database to
determine if the abstract of said at least
one query signal matches any of the
stored abstracts wherein a match
indicates the query signal is a version of
at least one of the reference signals.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CAROL S. TSAI whose telephone number is (571)272-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ramos-Feliciano S. Eliseo can be reached on (571) 272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2857

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 18, 2010 Art Unit 2857

/Carol S Tsai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2857

Case 6:12-cv-00499-RWS-CMC Document 1751-9 Filed 09/09/14 Page 12 of 12 PageID #: 25493

Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination MOSKOWITZ ET AL.			
Notice of Neierences Offed	Examiner	Art Unit	Page 1 of 1	
	CAROL S. TSAI	2857		

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,088,455	07-2000	Logan et al.	380/200
*	В	US-2001/0043594	11-2001	OGAWA et al.	370/356
*	С	US-5,210,820	05-1993	Kenyon, Stephen C.	704/200
*	D	US-6,385,329	05-2002	Sharma et al.	382/100
*	Е	US-6,590,996	07-2003	Reed et al.	382/100
*	F	US-5,949,055	09-1999	Fleet et al.	235/469
*	G	US-2002/0009208	01-2002	Alattar et al.	382/100
	Ι	US-			
	_	US-			
	٦	US-			
	K	US-			
	┙	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν					
	0					
	Р					
	Ø					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	W	
	×	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.